SB 253 (WIENER) CLIMATE CORPORATE ACCOUNTABILITY ACT













































































CALIFORNIA CEMENT MANUFACTURERS ENVIRONMENTAL COALITION (CCMEC)



































































CALIFORNIA

RESTAURANT



W





CIMA







CALASIAN character of commerce

















TO: Members, Assembly Appropriations Committee

SUBJECT: SB 253 (WIENER) CLIMATE CORPORATE ACCOUNTABILITY ACT

HEARING SCHEDULED – AUGUST 16, 2023 OPPOSE – AS AMENDED JULY 12, 2023

The California Chamber of Commerce and the organizations listed below must respectfully **OPPOSE SB 253 (Wiener)**, as amended on July 12, 2023, which requires that the California Air Resources Board (CARB) adopt regulations requiring the reporting of greenhouse gas emission data throughout the entire supply chain regardless of location. We oppose for the following reasons:

SB 253 Does not Build Upon Existing Emissions Reduction Efforts

California has made great strides in reducing in-state greenhouse gas emissions through a variety of programs that regulate and monitor *California* emissions. California's laws and regulations have served as models for other states and countries, particularly its Cap and Trade program. A cornerstone to California's Cap and Trade program is the direct monitoring and regulation of in-state emissions under the Mandatory Reporting for Greenhouse Gas Emissions (MRR) requirements. California should continue to implement and build upon existing programs and policies to deliver tangible emissions reductions. Emissions reductions are a biproduct of sound climate policy that is reliant on actionable data points. Unfortunately, **SB 253** runs counter to many of those data points.

SB 253 Will Have a Detrimental Impact on Small and Medium Businesses

Although **SB** 253 identifies a large threshold for applicability, the bill requires companies to track emissions not only for its California sites or products, but also requires emissions tracking of distant upstream and downstream supply chains. In the definitions, **SB** 253 sets out three types of emissions: Scope 1, which is defined as direct emissions from sources owned, operated, or directly controlled by the company; Scope 2, which are indirect emissions from any electricity purchased by a company; and Scope 3, which is very broad and includes all "indirect [GHG] emissions, other than Scope 2 emissions, from activities that that stem from sources that the covered entity does not own or directly control, and may include, but are not limited to emissions associated with the covered entity's supply chain, business travel, employee commutes, procurement, waste, and water usage."

Reporting emissions associated with a company's entire supply chain will necessarily require that large businesses stop doing business with small and medium businesses. Those small and medium-sized businesses are likely under resourced and will struggle to accurately measure their GHG emissions, leaving these companies without the contracts that enable them to grow and employ more workers. Further, there is a perverse incentive built into the reporting requirement to not grow your organization, as an expanded supply chain means that an organization will likely see a growing emissions profile. This has the ability to create inefficient supply chains that will further add costs to the end-user. And, as noted above, while an organization is able to account for emissions stemming from their direct operations, this becomes more challenging as we move further and further down the supply chain. This means that they will be reliant on proxy information rather than verifiable data. That proxy data will vary by sector, and by methodology. There will not be a reasonable "apples to apples" comparison that can be drawn from this information. For example, two companies that might be in the same sector could have a completely different emissions profile based simply on how they choose to report the data.

While **SB 253** seeks to limit the applicability of a penalty only to reporting entities, it is unlikely that will be the case. It is highly unlikely that a reporting entity would take sole responsibility for the risk associated with any kind of punitive action. While contracts vary by company and sector, we should expect to see indemnification clauses or shared cost recovery stipulations in contracts stemming from this measure. And, again, those under-resourced companies that cannot afford to share that risk will be left out. The inherently flawed nature of calculating Scope 3 emissions means that virtually every reporting entity could be subject

to a violation. And, naturally, those reporting entities will look for ways to mitigate the risk associated with a penalty. This will only add to the cost of goods and services here in California as that risk mitigation makes its way through the supply chain and eventually back to the consumer.

The Scope 3 Emissions Guidelines are a Moving Target

At this juncture, Scope 3 emissions reporting is more of an art than it is a science. Due to the likelihood of double counting, assessing Scope 3 emissions data with any degree of accuracy is not yet possible. This is mainly due to the protocol's reliance on secondary data, or industry averages, when calculating Scope 3 emissions. Secondary data is inherently flawed and unreliable, nor does it paint an accurate picture. For example, financial accounting standards rely on a company to report the actual invoiced cost of a raw material rather than base it on an industry average. Similarly, tax authorities demand that companies report on their actual profit margins, rather than the industry average. The State of California itself via CalPERS seems to understand that and has requested at the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) ongoing rulemaking process related to climate related disclosures that the information disclosed should be "material" to a financial investment.

For these reasons and others, CalChamber and the organizations listed below must respectfully **OPPOSE SB 253 (Wiener)**.

Sincerely,

Brady Van Engelen Policy Advocate

California Chamber of Commerce

On behalf of

Advanced Medical Technology Association, Bobby Patrick

African American Farmers of California, Will Scott, Jr.

Agricultural Council of California, Tricia Geringer

Alliance for Automotive Innovation, Curt Augustine

American Council of Life Insurers, John Mangan

Agricultural Energy Consumers Association, Michael Boccadoro

American Beverage Association, Rick Rivas

American Chemistry Council, Tim Shestek

American Composites Manufacturers Association, Dan Leacox

American Pistachio Growers, Richard Matoian

American Property Casualty Insurance Association, Denni Ritter

Antelope Valley Chambers of Commerce, Vicky Ventura

Association of California Life and Health Insurance Companies (ACLHIC), Matthew Powers

Association of General Contractors, Bret Gladfelty

Building Owners and Managers Association, Matthew Hargrove

Cal Asian Chamber of Commerce, Andrea Cao

California Apartment Association, Debra Carlton

California Apple Commission, Todd Sanders

California Bankers Association, Melanie Cuevas

California Blueberry Association, Todd Sanders

California Blueberry Commission, Todd Sanders

California Building Industry Association, Nick Cammarota

California Business Properties Association, Matthew Hargrove

California Business Roundtable. Natalie Boust

California Cattlemen's Association, Kirk Wilbur

California Cement Manufacturers Environmental Coalition, Frank Sheets

California Chamber of Commerce, Brady Van Engelen

California Construction and Industrial Materials Association, Adam Harper

California Cotton Ginners and Growers Association, Roger Isom

California Date Commission, Kristy Kneiding

California Food Producers, Trudi Hughes

California Forestry Association, Craig Swaim

California Fresh Fruit Association, Ian LeMay

California Fuels and Convenience Alliance, Alessandra Magnasco

California Hispanic Chamber of Commerce, Yolanda Benson

California Hospital Association, Rony Berdugo

California Independent Petroleum Association, Sean Wallentine

California Life Sciences, Andrea Correia

California Manufacturers and Technology Association, Robert Spiegel

California Mortgage Bankers Association, Susan Milazzo

California Poultry Federation, Bill Mattos

California Railroads, Juan Acosta

California Restaurant Association, Katie Davey

California Retailers Association, Ryan Allain

California Trucking Association, Chris Shimoda

California Water Association, Jennifer Capitolo

California Walnut Commission, Robert Verloop

CalCIMA, Adam Harper

CalTax, Peter Blocker

Carlsbad Chamber of Commerce, Bret Schanzenbach

Chino Valley Chamber of Commerce, Zeb Welborn

Chemical Industry Council of California, Lisa Johnson

Citrus Heights Chamber, Diane Ebbitt

Costa Mesa Chamber of Commerce, David Haithcock

Danville Area Chamber of Commerce, Judy Lloyd

Energy Infrastructure Council, Lori Ziebert

Far West Equipment Dealers Association, Joani Woelfel

Financial Services Institute, Bret Gladfelty

Greater High Desert Chamber of Commerce, Mark Creffield

Household & Commercial Products Association, Christopher Finarelli

La Cañada Flintridge Chamber of Commerce, Pat Anderson

Long Beach Area Chamber of Commerce, Alexandra Lakatos

Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce, Jacqueline Romero

Los Angeles County Business Federation, Sarah Wiltfong

North San Diego Business Chamber of Commerce, Sophia Hernandez

National Association of Mutual Insurance Companies, Seren Taylor

National Association of Industrial and Office Properties, Matthew Hargrove

Nisei Farmers League, Manuel Cuncha, Jr.

Oceanside Chamber of Commerce, Scott Ashton

Olive Growers Council of California, Todd Sanders

Orange County Business Council, Connor Medina

Pacific Merchant Shipping Association, Brian White

Palos Verdes Peninsula Chamber of Commerce, Marilyn Lyon

Personal Insurance Federation of California, Seren Taylor

Plastics Industry Association, Kris Quigly

Plumbing Manufacturers International, Jerry Desmond

Rancho Cordova Chamber of Commerce, Diann Rogers

Santa Barbara South Coast Chamber of Commerce, Dustin Hoiseth

Santee Chamber of Commerce, Kristen Dare

Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association, Joanne Bettencourt

Simi Valley Chamber of Commerce, James Vigdor

Specialty Equipment Market Association, Christian Robinson

Torrance Area Chamber of Commerce, Donna Duperron TechNet, Lia Nitake
Tenaska, Brian White
Truck and Engine Manufacturers Association, David Gonzalez
Walnut Creek Chamber, Bob Linscheid
West Precast Prestressed Concrete Institute, Dan Leacox
West Ventura County Business Alliance, Nancy Lindholm
Western Agricultural Processors Association, Roger Isom
Western Growers Association, Matthew Allen
Western Plant Health Association, Renee Pinel
Western States Petroleum Association, Shant Apekian
Wine Institute, Noelle Cremers

cc: Legislative Affairs, Office of the Governor
Tate Hanna, Office of Senator Wiener
Jay Dickenson, Assembly Appropriations Committee
Joe Shinstock, Assembly Republican Caucus