



April 10, 2024

The Honorable Juan Carrillo
Chair, Assembly Local Government Committee
1020 N Street, Room 157
Sacramento, CA 95814

**RE: AB 2591 (Quirk-Silva) – Local government: youth commission
As Amended April 9, 2024 – OPPOSE
Set for Hearing April 17, 2024**

Dear Chair Carrillo:

On behalf of the Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC), the California State Association of Counties (CSAC), the Urban Counties of California (UCC), and the League of California Cities (Cal Cities), we regretfully oppose Assembly Bill 2591 (Quirk-Silva). This bill creates a new mandated local program by requiring cities and counties to establish a youth commission in response to petitions from high school pupils enrolled in their jurisdiction.


Counties and cities do not take issue with the policy of establishing local youth commissions. Local governments have the authority to create boards and commissions based on local needs, available funding, and staff resources. Local governments frequently use that authority to establish boards, commissions, and advisory bodies to ensure they are informed by the diverse perspectives of their communities. While we appreciate the bill's intent to expand access to civic engagement for youth, as currently drafted, the provisions would create a new mandate that will require significant investment in staff resources without a corresponding allocation of funds.

As Brown Act-governed bodies, commissions require financial resources to fund the staff time required to respond to the initial petition and create the body, fill vacancies, provide the venue, staff the meetings, and fulfill Brown Act requirements (e.g., agenda preparation, meeting minutes, coordination with commission members). Given the serious fiscal challenges that exist at all levels of government, it is increasingly unlikely that counties and cities would have the necessary resources to meet this new requirement. Furthermore, this bill negates the real and challenging circumstances, primarily in rural jurisdictions, where a county or city cannot seat vacant positions on existing bodies – not for lack of trying, but merely for lack of available or willing volunteers. In addition to the real, direct costs imposed on local governments, the bill creates unnecessary opportunity costs for the time spent on a state-prescribed activity that could

have been spent on issues of greater need for that community. Establishing new meeting bodies, which would presumably be funded by redirecting local General Fund dollars from existing programs, must remain a local decision based on local conditions and needs.

For the reasons outlined above, RCRC, CSAC, UCC, and Cal Cities respectfully oppose AB 2591. Should you have any questions regarding our position, please do not hesitate to contact our organizations.

Sincerely,



Sarah Dukett
Policy Advocate
RCRC
sdukett@rcrcnet.org



Eric Lawyer
Legislative Advocate
CSAC
elawyer@counties.org



Jean Hurst
Legislative Advocate
UCC
jkh@hbeadvocacy.com



Johnnie Pina
Legislative Affairs, Lobbyist
Cal Cities
jpina@calcities.org

cc: The Honorable Sharon Quirk-Silva, Member of the California State Assembly
Members of the Assembly Local Government Committee
Angela Mapp, Chief Consultant, Assembly Local Government Committee
William Weber, Consultant, Assembly Republican Caucus